

II. REMARKS

- 1. Claims 1-3 ramain in the application.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are not anticipated by Engler et al. (US 6,383,619, "Engles").
- 2.1 Engler fails to disclose or suggest that the walls of the cavities have a higher dielectric constant than that of the dielectric fluid, as recited by claim 1.
- Column 3, lines 31-50, cited by the Examiner refers to electrically activatable particles that move under the application of in electric field. There is no disclosure related to the walls of the cavities having a higher dielectric constant than the dielectric fluid.
- Column 4, lines 1-19, cited by the Examiner describes forming matrix cavities and describes the characteristics of bichromal particles. However, this section also fails to describe anything related to the walls of the cavities having a higher dielectric constant than that of the dielectric fluid.
- Column 8, lines 5-65, also cited by the Examiner describes an embodiment where the particles translate rather than rotate as called by claim. Nevertheless, there is still no disclosure related to the walls of the cavities having a higher dielectric constant than the dielectric fluid.
- A careful reading of Engler in its entirety fails to find any disclosure or suggestion of this feature.
- 2.2 Engler also fails to disclose or suggest that the dielectric material includes an ionizable charge director

material which forms mobile ions which move and induce a dipole moment and rotate the particles, as recited by claim 1.

Column 3, lines \$1-50 of Engler simply states that application of a sufficiently strong electric field commonly results in the particles moving, for example rotating. There is no disclosure related to forming mobile ions which move and in turn rotate the particles.

Column 4, lines 1-19, cited by the Examiner, describes a matrix that swells as a result of absorbing a liquid agent to form cavities. The cavities are filled with another liquid and thus allow rotation of the particles. However, there is no disclosure and no suggestion related to an ionizable charge director material as described above.

Column 8, lines ϵ -50, also cited by the Examiner, also includes no disclosure related to an ionizable charge director material as recited by claim 1.

In the "Response to Arguments" section of the present rejection, the Examiner cites the above mentioned portions of columns 3, 4, and 8 as disclosing "different mobilities which move within the liquid towards the cavity walls of opposite polarity and induce a dipole moment and rotate the particles." Applicants disagree.

Engler appears to induce movement solely by application of an electrical field. Applicants simply find no disclosure related to an ionizable charge director material and no disclosure related to mobile ions that cause the particles to rotate. The present invention is advantageous because it avoids problems associated with tribocharge removal and provides a threshold response mechanism as described in the specification on page 1, line 19 through page 2, line 22.



At least for theme reasons, Applicants respectfully submit that Engler fails to anticipate independent claim 1 and dependent claims 2 and 3.

For all of the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now present in the application are clearly novel and patentable over the prior art of record, and in proper form for allowance. Accordingly, favorable reconsideration and allowance is respectfully requested. any unresolved issues remain, the Examiner is invited to call Applicants' attorney at the telephone number indicated below.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment for any fees associated with this communication or credit any over payment to Deposit Account No. 24-0037.

Respectfully submitted,

seph V. Gamberdell, Jr.

Reg. No. 44,695

12 March 2004

Perman & Green, LLP 425 Post Road Fairfield, CT 06324 (203) 259-1800 Customer No.: 2512

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to 703-872-9306 on the date indicated below, addressed to Mail Stop AF, Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria VA 22313-1450

Signature:

Person Making Deposit

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 1 2 2004

OFFICIAL

Facsimile Message From

Law Offices PERMAN & GREEN, LLP 425 Post Road Fairfield, Connecticut 06430 USA

Facsimile Number: (203) 255-5170 Telephone Number: (203) 259-1800

TO: USPTO ALT UNIT: 2674 EXAMINER NEUYEN, J.

FAX NO: 703-872-9306

200

DATE: 12 MARCH 2004

FROM: JOSEPH GAMBERSELL

RE: 09/770,430

P&G Reference: D/96604

872-009683 - US (PAR)

Number of Pages, including this sheet, being transmitted:

Please confirm receipt of this transmission

The original of this facsimile will be sent to you via mail

THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE AND MAY CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL OR LEGALLY PRIVILEGED INFORMATION

If you are not the intended recipient, for one hereby notified that any use or dissemination of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you receive this transmission in error please notify us immediately so that we can arrange for the return of the documents to us at no cost to you.